UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA		
United States of) File No. 19-CR-00005) (ECT/SER)
Plaintiff,	•)
V.) St. Paul, Minnesota) December 20, 2018
Shawn Kelly Thoma	son,) 10:18 a.m.
Defendant)
UNITED STAT	E THE HONORABLE 'ES DISTRICT COU! CRIPTION OF AUDIO LIMINARY/DETENTI	RT MAGISTRATE JUDGE O RECORDING OF
APPEARANCES		
For the Plaintiff	KATHARI 300 S.	TORNEY'S OFFICE NE BUZICKY, AUSA 4th St., #600 oolis, Minnesota 55415
For the Defendant	LISA LO 300 S.	OF THE FEDERAL DEFENDER OPEZ, ESQ. 4th St., #175 oolis, Minnesota 55415
Transcribed by:	DEBRA K	. BEAUVAIS, RPR-CRR
	300 S.	4th St., #1005 polis, Minnesota 55415
	ap	,
Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography;		ical stenography;
<u> </u>	a by computer.	
transcript produced		
<u> </u>		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	IN OPEN COURT
3	THE COURT: We're here on the matter entitled
4	United States of America v. Shawn Kelly Thomason for our
5	preliminary hearing and a detention hearing.
6	Would counsel note their appearances for the
7	record.
8	MS. BUZICKY: Good morning, Your Honor. Katharine
9	Buzicky on behalf of the United States. Special Agent Drew
10	Helms of the FBI is also present at counsel table.
11	THE COURT: Good morning.
12	MS. LOPEZ: Good morning, Your Honor. Lisa Lopez
13	for Mr. Thomason, who is present before the Court.
14	THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Lopez.
15	Ms. Lopez, is the defendant still contesting
16	probable cause?
17	MS. LOPEZ: Yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Very well.
19	Will the government be offering a witness?
20	MS. BUZICKY: Yes, Your Honor. We have a witness
21	on the matter of probable cause and detention. Based on our
22	preparation, I think that one direct examination would cover
23	both, if that works for the Court.
24	THE COURT: Very well. That's fine.
25	MS. BUZICKY: All right.

1	THE COURT: You may proceed.
2	MS. BUZICKY: Yes, Your Honor.
3	Your Honor, before I begin, I'll just note that we
4	have 10 exhibits today. They are photographs from various
5	search warrants associated with the case. Prior to today's
6	hearing, I showed them to Ms. Lopez and gave her a sense of
7	what we have. So just to make that clear so I don't have to
8	repeat just the fact that they were shown to the defense.
9	THE COURT: Very well.
10	MS. BUZICKY: The United States calls Special
11	Agent Drew Helms to the stand.
12	THE COURT: Special Agent Helms, please approach
13	the witness stand. Would you raise your right hand.
14	(Court administered oath to the witness.)
15	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. State
16	your name and spell your last name for the record, sir.
17	THE WITNESS: Drew Helms, H-E-L-M-S.
18	THE COURT: You may proceed.
19	MS. BUZICKY: Yes, Your Honor.
20	
21	DREW HELMS
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. BUZICKY:
24	Q. Good morning, Special Agent Helms. You are an FBI
25	agent?

A. Yes, I am.

- 2 Q. And where are you assigned?
- 3 A. To the Mankato resident agency office.
- 4 Q. How long have you been an agent with the Federal Bureau
- 5 of Investigation?
- 6 A. It was 23 years in October.
- 7 Q. What types of cases do you investigate in Mankato?
- 8 A. It's a small, two-person office. We cover over the 200
- 9 violations of the federal rules, so white collar, violent
- 10 crime, bank robberies, that sort of thing.
- 11 Q. In the course of your official duties, did you come
- 12 across an investigation of the defendant, Shawn Thomason?
- 13 | A. I did.
- Q. And you're the case agent for this case, correct?
- 15 A. Yes, I am.
- 16 | Q. How did this matter first come to the FBI's attention?
- 17 A. On December 7th, I was contacted by a patrol officer
- 18 with the Mankato Department of Public Safety. He was a
- 19 former detective, and he and I worked together over the last
- 20 | 17 years that I was stationed in Mankato, and told me a
- 21 little bit about the case. And he wondered if -- at the
- 22 point in time, they didn't know where the defendant was
- 23 | located and wondered if he had possibly flown into
- 24 Minneapolis or Rochester and wondered if I could help try to
- 25 see if he did in fact fly.

- 1 Q. So this was essentially a request for assistance,
- 2 correct?
- 3 A. It was.
- 4 | Q. And as you started to learn a little bit more about the
- 5 investigation, what was the nature of the offense or the
- 6 offenses that the Mankato police were investigating?
- 7 A. The defendant had traveled from Michigan and had placed
- 8 a GPS device or two on the victim's vehicle and had
- 9 confronted her at her home in Mankato.
- 10 Q. As you learned more, did you start to believe that this
- 11 | could potentially become a federal investigation as well?
- 12 | A. I did.
- 13 Q. And as your preparation for today's hearing you've
- 14 reviewed reports written by your colleagues at Mankato P.D.
- and other law-enforcement officers as well?
- 16 A. Yes, I have.
- 17 Q. So part of your testimony will be based on your review
- 18 of those reports and conversations with those officers,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. Okay. So let's go a little bit into the details of this
- 22 investigation.
- 23 You stated that the Mankato police were looking at
- 24 potential travel across state lines and the placement of
- 25 tracking devices or GPS units on the victim's car. What did

- you learn about the relationship between the victim and the defendant before all this got started?
- 3 A. They had dated for approximately a year and a half, I
- 4 believe beginning in the fall of 2016, when they both lived
- 5 in Michigan. The victim was attending college in the area,
- 6 and the defendant lived in Hazel Park, Michigan. They had
- 7 broken up for a short period of time during that, but the
- 8 final break-up was in May of 2018.
- 9 Q. Who ended the relationship?
- 10 A. The victim did.
- 11 Q. And how did the defendant, Mr. Thomason, react to the
- 12 termination of their romantic relationship?
- 13 A. He sent many correspondence via email and social media
- 14 up until the point that the victim had to block him on all
- of the social media accounts. She did continue to see
- 16 correspondence from him, but it went automatically to a spam
- 17 | folder so she didn't look at it until later.
- 18 Q. After she ended the relationship in Michigan, did you
- 19 learn about any travel or vacations that she took?
- 20 A. She did. She finished school in May of '18 and had a
- 21 | trip overseas, part of her degree, and she also had a summer
- trip to a family member in Missouri during the summer of
- 23 2018.
- Q. And following that Missouri trip, where did the victim
- 25 | end up residing?

A. In August, she moved with her family members to

Minnesota and specifically to Mankato.

people that knew where she was living.

- Q. What did you learn about who she told or how public she was about her move to Mankato?
 - A. Based on ending the relationship and his continued behaviors with her via electronic communications, she stated that only four people knew where she was living in Mankato. She had obtained a new cellular phone number that the defendant did not have access to. And there was just four
 - Q. Now I'd like to draw your attention to the evening of December 6, 2018. What happened that day that caused law enforcement to start looking into Mr. Thomason?
 - A. The victim had got off work from her employment at a local mall and had driven home the route that she had normally taken, and when she pulled into her parking spot at her residence -- it's kind of off of an alley, if you will -- she noticed headlights coming off from a different direction. And that vehicle pulled in behind her when she had parked, perpendicular to her car, blocking her car from backing out or pulling away.
 - Q. What happened after that?
 - A. She waited to see what was happening. She became very uneasy and nervous with that car blocking her in. She thought she was going to be robbed. Within a minute, the

- defendant knocked on her window and attempted to open her door by pulling on the car door.
- Q. How did she feel when she realized the defendant was right outside her car window?
- A. She became frantic. She immediately grabbed her cell phone, called her father -- who was inside the house, within 30 feet of the parking spot -- and her father came out and told the defendant to leave, said that law enforcement was going to be called.
- 10 Q. Did the defendant then leave?
- 11 A. He did.
- 12 Q. And the family, did they eventually get in touch with
- 13 law enforcement?
- 14 A. Yes, they did.
- Q. What happened when they reported the incident to the Mankato police?
- A. Initially, the patrol officers were interviewing her.

 And, again, she told them that only a hand -- four people

 knew that she was living there. She wondered how he could

 find her. One of the patrol officers mentioned that there
- 21 are tracking devices that you can buy on Amazon. They ended
- 22 up looking at her vehicle and found a relatively
- 23 new-appearing GPS tracker attached to the driver's side
- vehicle frame. And then upon closer inspection, an older
- one was covered in dust and road salt from winter driving,

- 1 passenger side, again attached with magnets.
- Q. To that point, had she any idea that those devices were attached to her vehicle?
- 4 A. She did not.
- 5 Q. And how did she react when she learned that those GPS
- 6 devices were under her car?
- 7 A. It frightened her even further than the defendant's
- 8 behavior prior to that.
- 9 Q. So based on that information and other investigation,
- 10 did Mankato police attempt to arrest the defendant?
- 11 A. They did. They had found out that he had rented a car
- in Rochester, Minnesota, and they had gotten details about
- that rental and put out a probable cause statement to the
- 14 | surrounding law-enforcement agencies.
- 15 Q. Did they eventually locate him in the vicinity?
- 16 A. They did. They arrested him outside a hotel in
- 17 St. Peter, Minnesota.
- 18 Q. After the arrest, what steps did they take to interview
- 19 Mr. Thomason?
- 20 A. He was brought to the jail and eventually interviewed by
- one of the detectives, and his vehicle was towed in order to
- 22 apply for a search warrant.
- Q. What did he tell them about how he traveled from his
- 24 home in Michigan to Minnesota?
- 25 A. He admitted to driving his personal car from Hazel Park,

1 Michigan to Rochester, Minnesota, renting a vehicle there, 2 and then driving to Mankato. 3 What about previous trips to Mankato in recent weeks? He was asked about the GPS device, and he had admitted 4 5 that prior to this immediate incident that he had traveled a 6 few weeks before and placed the first GPS on her vehicle. 7 And what was his stated reason for being in Mankato? 8 He wanted to see why the victim found the town 9 enjoyable, wanted to check up on her, and --10 THE COURT: Why the victim found the town what? 11 THE WITNESS: Enjoyable. 12 THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: There had been some communication 13 14 about him coming or wanting her to, obviously, come back to 15 Michigan. 16 BY MS. BUZICKY: 17 Q. Now, you mentioned that his vehicle was also seized by 18 law enforcement. Did they obtain a search warrant to look 19 at the interior of that vehicle? 20 Α. Yes, they did. 21 Q. And, again, here we're talking about the rental car, 22 correct? 23 That's correct. Α. 24 Okay. I'm going to hand you Government Exhibits 1

through 7, and I'd like you to just identify what these are

- 1 for the record.
- 2 A. These are pictures taken by the Mankato Department of
- 3 | Public Safety during the execution of their search warrant
- 4 when the detectives who was searching the car and then their
- 5 crime scene person was taking pictures.
- 6 Q. And are these true and accurate copies of those photos?
- 7 A. Yes, they are.
- MS. BUZICKY: Your Honor, we offer Government
- 9 Exhibits 1 through 7 into evidence.
- MS. LOPEZ: No objection.
- 11 THE COURT: It will be admitted.
- 12 BY MS. BUZICKY:
- 13 Q. So, Special Agent Helms, starting with Government
- 14 | Exhibit 1, what does that photo depict?
- 15 A. This depicts two what appear to be homemade faraday
- 16 bags.
- 17 Q. And describe what a faraday bag is based on your
- 18 training and experience.
- 19 A. It's a bag that you can either make yourself or purchase
- 20 commercially available and it blocks radio-frequency signals
- 21 | from outgoing or incoming when a device is placed inside the
- 22 faraday bag.
- 23 Q. What did the defendant tell the Mankato authorities
- about why he had these faraday bags?
- 25 A. That he didn't want to be tracked by the government.

- Q. Looking at Government Exhibit 2, what does that depict?
- 2 A. An overall shot of the trunk of the rental vehicle; it
- 3 was a Nissan Murano. Just the various bags and the cooler
- 4 as they were found.
- 5 Q. And what did those bags depict just generally -- or,
- 6 excuse me, contain just generally speaking?
- 7 A. Some of the bags, clothing items. The cooler had food,
- 8 MREs (military ready to eat), drinks, chips, snacks.
- 9 Clothing items. There was a handgun in there, a stun gun,
- some electrical tape, a camera, recording devices.
- 11 Q. Taking a look at Government Exhibit 3, what does that
- 12 depict?

- 13 A. That's the stun gun that was found in the vehicle.
- 14 Q. Within the rental vehicle?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | O. And Government Exhibit 4?
- 17 A. That's an electrical tape that was found in the -- in
- 18 the vehicle as well.
- 19 Q. Government Exhibit 5?
- 20 A. This is a Glock pistol that was contained in a shoulder
- 21 holster within the back of the vehicle.
- 22 Q. Now, thinking about that Glock a little bit in more
- detail, what did you learn about whether the defendant had
- 24 been a gun owner prior to this fall?
- 25 A. He had not, according to the victim, ever owned a

- 1 | firearm prior to the fall of 2018.
- Q. And based on the evidence that you have reviewed, about
- 3 when did he obtain that weapon and a permit to carry it?
- 4 A. That gun was purchased about the second week in November
- 5 of this -- 2018.
- 6 Q. Taking a look at Government Exhibit 6, what does that
- 7 depict?
- 8 A. The contents of the cooler that were found in the back
- 9 of the vehicle.
- 10 Q. And you mentioned military rations. Are those in that
- 11 | picture as well?
- 12 A. Yeah. They are the brown, plastic bags on the right.
- 13 Q. Okay. And, finally, Government Exhibit 7, what is that?
- 14 A. It depicts a picture of a ring that was found with a
- 15 note attached to it that was found in the back of the
- 16 | vehicle as well.
- 17 Q. And if you were to characterize what kind of ring that
- is, what would you say?
- 19 A. Like a promise ring or an engagement ring.
- 20 Q. And the text of the note may not be fully visible, but
- 21 what is it generally conveying?
- 22 A. That he wanted the victim to accept the gift, the ring,
- and kind of laid out his course of action on behaviors for
- 24 their continuing relationship.
- 25 Q. So some sort of promise or engagement ring and a note

- 1 talking about a future together or something like that?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Okay. Now, you testified that you started thinking
- 4 about this case as a potential federal investigation. At
- 5 some point, did you attempt to obtain a search warrant for
- 6 the defendant's home in Michigan?
- 7 A. We did, yes.
- 8 Q. And who actually executed that search warrant?
- 9 A. Agents and task force officers from our Detroit office.
- 10 Q. When did that search warrant get executed?
- 11 A. On Wednesday.
- 12 Q. Wednesday of this past week?
- 13 A. This past week, yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. And at the time that the search warrant was being
- presented to the magistrate and so forth, where was the
- 16 defendant?
- 17 A. He was in custody at the Blue Earth County Jail, but he
- 18 was in the process of bonding out.
- 19 Q. What did the agents and task force officers in Michigan
- 20 discover when they got into the defendant's home in
- 21 Michigan?
- 22 A. More electronic tracking devices, a second pistol, a
- 23 | lock-picking kit, and both a typewritten and a handwritten
- 24 note about tactics and kind of a planning checklist.
- Q. I'm going to show you Government Exhibits 8 through 10.

- Can you identify those three exhibits for the record?
- 2 A. These depict images from -- the typewritten note as
- 3 Government Exhibit 8, and the two-page handwritten note of
- 4 Government Exhibit 9 and 10.
- 5 Q. Where were those found?
- 6 A. Eight was in one of the rooms. And nine and ten were in
- 7 a trash can in one of the rooms at the house in Michigan.
- 8 Q. So all in the defendant's home in Michigan?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. And are those true and accurate copies of those
- 11 pictures?
- 12 A. I think they are cropped a little bit differently just
- 13 the way they printed it out, but, yes, they are of what was
- 14 found. Yes.
- 15 Q. Understood.
- 16 MS. BUZICKY: Your Honor, we offer Government
- 17 Exhibits 8 through 10.
- THE COURT: Ms. Lopez?
- MS. LOPEZ: No objection.
- 20 THE COURT: It will be received.
- 21 BY MS. BUZICKY:
- 22 Q. Taking a look at Government Exhibit 8, the typewritten
- 23 note as you described it, what does that contain, what kind
- 24 of information?
- 25 A. It appears to be a checklist with some things crossed

1 out by line. Many of those things were actually found in the rental car at the search warrant -- time of the search 2 3 warrant. So can you read off some of the items that are on that 4 5 list, just a selection. 6 A. Phones, money, cards, tac pants, belts, compression 7 gear, gloves, straps, cuffs, duct tape, electrical tape, 8 gifts, Dynamat. 9 THE COURT: I'm sorry, what was that last one? 10 THE WITNESS: Something called Dynamat. 11 THE COURT: What's that? 12 THE WITNESS: I had to Google it myself, Your 13 Honor. At least according to my open source search --14 THE COURT: GTS. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. It's a sound-dampening product 16 used in either vehicles or commercial or residential that 17 you can put up in walls to make things quieter. 18 BY MS. BUZICKY: 19 So based on your research, that's the product that would 20 muffle sounds? 21 That's correct. Α. 22 Q. And then taking a look at 9 and 10, a little bit harder 23 to read, but what kind of information did they generally 24 contain? 25 Some of the items that are on 9 and 10 handwritten

- CASE 0:19-cr-00005-ECT-SER Document 21 Dilendon1/28/19 Page 17 of 60 17 appear on the other exhibit as well. 1 2 Q. Get your cheaters out. 3 A. Maybe put my cheaters on. Prepaid cards, phones, gloves. Some items have 4 5 check marks next to them. Something about counting the 6 seconds, using the spark plug to break windows. Again, 7 there is more of a list --8 THE COURT: Using a what? 9 THE WITNESS: A spark plug to break windows. 10 BY MS. BUZICKY: 11 So items like a packing list and then potential tactics 12 or actions to take --13 Yes. Α. 14 -- would be a fair summary? Q. 15 A. That's correct. 16 Okay. As the agents and task force officers in Detroit 17 were executing their search warrant, were they communicating 18 with you in Mankato?
 - A. Yes, they were.

- 20 What did they convey to you about the urgency of the 21 situation?
- 22 Based on, you know, what they had found with the gun, 23 the lock-picking kit, other tracking appearing electronics 24 at the house, and then with these two -- both the 25 handwritten note and the later checklist, it made it more

- urgent or threatening that there was more to a visit to

 Mankato than just to check out how the town was.
 - Q. Based on what they told you and your own investigation of this case, what did you decide to do that evening?
 - A. To pursue an arrest warrant for the defendant.
- 6 Q. A federal --

4

5

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

- A. A federal arrest warrant.
- Q. And can you describe the circumstances under which he was arrested.
- A. After he had bonded out of Blue Earth County Jail, they
 had -- one of the conditions was a GPS unit be placed on his
 ankle.

Through Blue Earth County probation and the third-party monitoring company, they gave me realtime updates as far as his location when he was leaving Mankato and heading east towards Rochester, Minnesota. I related -- relayed that information to the special agent in Rochester who was working with the Rochester Police Department, and they eventually located him in a McDonald's in Rochester, Minnesota and placed him under arrest.

- Q. Now, since he has entered federal custody, did you have an opportunity to talk to the victim in this case?
- 23 A. Yes.
 - Q. And what is her sense or feelings about the dangerousness or course of action that this defendant may

1 take? 2 MS. LOPEZ: Objection, relevance. 3 THE COURT: It will be overruled. THE WITNESS: She's extremely fearful. She talked 4 5 about him not giving up and continuing to pursue whatever it 6 was that he wanted to pursue with this incident. 7 BY MS. BUZICKY: 8 And how did she describe his mind-set or approach to 9 issues like this? 10 He is very controlling, and he would never give up. 11 As a part of your investigation, have you looked into how the defendant was able to bond out of Blue Earth County? 12 13 Yes. Α. 14 Q. And what did you learn about who provided the funds for 15 that? 16 The defendant has a -- what appears to be a very close 17 friend from Michigan that has seemingly unlimited access to 18 money. This third-party friend is the one that posted the 19 \$25,000 bond and, at least through what I've learned so far, 20 likely paid for the vehicle that he drove, his personal 21 vehicle, and his house. 22 Q. Based on your training and experience as a 23 law-enforcement officer, what are some of your concerns 24 about that dynamic, where the defendant has access to large 25 amounts of money and funds?

It could be used not only to re-attempt contact with the 1 2 victim but be used to flee and not come back to face the 3 charges. 4 MS. BUZICKY: Your Honor, no further questions for 5 this witness. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. 7 Ms. Lopez. 8 MS. LOPEZ: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY MS. LOPEZ: 12 Agent Helms, you testified that there were two trackers that were found under this car? 13 14 That's correct. Α. 15 And this particular car is a 2017 Chevy Cruze, correct? 16 Yes. Α. 17 Q. And you --18 To the best of my knowledge. I don't know exactly what 19 year it is, but yes. 20 Okay. And you testified that in the course of your 21 investigation in this case, you read some of Mr. Thomason's 22 writings? 23 A. That's correct. 24 Q. And he referred to having purchased this car for 25 Ms. Schraeder?

- 1 A. By the third party, yes.
- 2 Q. Yes. But he -- and the Complaint actually says that,
- 3 right? It says that he gifted that car to her?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Okay. Now, in terms of the tracker, one was found on
- 6 the passenger side?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 O. And one was found on the driver's side?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. And it's believed that the passenger side tracker had
- been on there for a longer period than the one on the
- 12 driver's side?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. A matter of weeks?
- 15 A. An unknown period of time. It was covered in dust and
- 16 road grime. We did have a lot of snow in between there and
- salt on the roads and that sort of thing. So the couple of
- 18 | weeks was based on his statement to the detective during the
- 19 interview.
- 20 Q. Okay. And is it your understanding that that was placed
- 21 on there on a previous trip of his to Minnesota?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. Okay. Now, of the two suspected trips at least, it's
- 24 only this trip that she claims that Mr. Thomason had any
- 25 physical contact with her?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And, in fact, in this alleged previous trip there was no
- 3 contact of any kind?
- 4 A. That's what she reported, yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. And as to both trips, she didn't report that she
- 6 was being followed by any suspicious cars on her way to and
- 7 from work?

- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. She didn't report that somebody was sitting outside of
- 10 the mall sort of after closing hours and confronting her
- 11 while she was alone?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. Okay. And going back to my questions about the car that
- 14 he claims to have gifted her -- and that's written as such
- in the Complaint -- so that would be that he placed the GPS
- 16 on what he views as his car or as a car that he had given to
- 17 her as a gift?
- 18 A. Even though it's titled in her name, yes.
- 19 Q. Correct. But that money came from Mr. Thomason or
- 20 Mr. Thomason's contacts?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. So let's talk about the encounter that you described on
- December 6th. Okay? And Ms. Schraeder, she was working at
- 24 the mall on that date?
- 25 A. Yes, she was.

- Q. And she said that her store closes at 9:00 p.m.?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. She drove home after that?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. And it was at that point that she parked in a driveway,
- 6 but it was off of an alley, correct?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And Mr. Thomason pulled up sort of perpendicular to her
- 9 car?
- 10 A. And behind it.
- 11 Q. And behind it?
- 12 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 13 Q. And that would have been really one of the only places
- in the alley, right? Like if he wanted to stop right in
- front of her house, that would have been the place, not in
- 16 | the middle of the alley?
- 17 A. Minnesota Valley Pet Hospital is right next, across the
- 18 | alley if you will. There was lots of parking spaces there
- 19 I'm sure, so he could have pulled into a parking spot.
- 20 Q. Okay. And when he -- when Mr. Thomason got out of his
- 21 car, he walked up to her driver's side window?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. And what she reports is that he knocked on her window?
- 24 A. Pulled on the door handle and then knocked on the
- 25 | window.

- 1 Q. And knocked on the window.
- 2 She didn't report that he banged on the window?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. And she stated that she didn't make eye contact with him
- 5 and called her father?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And at that point, he didn't get -- Mr. Thomason didn't
- 8 get aggressive that she wasn't talking to him?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. He didn't threaten her? Didn't raise his voice?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Okay. And, in fact, how she describes him is she
- described him as being "friendly"?
- 14 A. That's how she described it.
- Q. Okay. During that incident, she never reports that he
- 16 told her he had a gun?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. He never threatened her with a gun?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. And she didn't even report seeing a gun on his person?
- 21 A. It -- she did not.
- Q. Okay. Instead, what she said he had in his hands was a
- 23 paper envelope?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. And in that paper envelope were writings that contained

- questions about sort of the status of their relationship and why she left him, things like that?
- 3 A. I'm not sure what that envelope had in it, but there
- 4 were those types of writings, questions, script if you will,
- 5 found in the vehicle. So I'm not sure what was found in the
- 6 envelope at the immediate time when he had it in his hand.
- 7 Q. Okay. And so Ms. Schraeder, like I said, called her
- 8 father. He came out of the house; is that correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. And he told Mr. Thomason to leave?
- 11 A. He did.
- 12 Q. And Mr. Thomason left?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Without incident?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Didn't fight him?
- 17 A. Got into his car and drove away.
- 18 Q. Didn't fight the father?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Didn't resist?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 | O. Didn't call him names?
- 23 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Didn't say he'll be back?
- 25 A. Not that I'm aware of, no.

- Q. Okay. And, in fact, there are no reports that
- 2 Mr. Thomason came back?
- 3 A. Nope, there's not.
- 4 Q. There's no reports that he was seen circling their
- 5 block?
- 6 A. No. He wasn't apprehended until later the next morning.
- 7 Q. Exactly. And so he was arrested the next morning,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. And where he was arrested, it was in St. Peter?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. And that's about 15 miles north of Mankato?
- 13 A. Of Mankato, yeah. Yes.
- 14 Q. Approximately 15 minutes away?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. And at that point, he had already checked out of
- 17 that hotel?
- 18 A. According to the detective from St. Peter, yes. They
- 19 found him in his vehicle running outside the hotel, and that
- 20 when they did check with the management there, they said,
- 21 yes, he's already checked out.
- 22 | O. And so all of his items were in his car?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. So he was leaving?
- 25 A. He was leaving the hotel, yes.

- Q. Okay. And when he was arrested by local authorities,
- 2 that was again without incident?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. He didn't fight with officers?
- 5 A. Did not.
- 6 Q. Didn't become belligerent with them?
- 7 A. Did not.
- 8 Q. Okay. And, in fact, he told the officers that he had a
- 9 | gun in his car?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. He didn't hide that?
- 12 A. He did not.
- 13 Q. Didn't deny it?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. And it's legal for Mr. Thomason to have a gun?
- 16 A. To be honest, I don't know what the State of Minnesota
- 17 laws are when it comes to reciprocity for conceal-carry, but
- 18 I would imagine that just the mere possession of the firearm
- as a non-convicted felon or domestic violence type of issue
- 20 is -- it's legal.
- 21 Q. And, in fact, as part of the discovery that's been
- 22 disclosed to me, you may have seen this, he had a purchase
- 23 -- a document that evidences that he purchased it through an
- 24 authorized dealer?
- 25 A. It appears so, yes.

- Q. And in the top corner of that document it says,
- 2 "Michigan State Police"?
- 3 A. Probably so. That's who issues permit to purchases in
- 4 most states or the local jurisdiction in the sheriff's
- 5 office.
- Q. So at least in Michigan, he was able to buy that
- 7 legally?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. Now, on the issue of the gun, you talked about
- 10 | that a little bit on direct. Mr. Thomason owns two guns?
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. Is that correct? There's one in --
- 13 A. Two guns were found.
- 14 Q. Correct. So two guns were found; is that right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. One in Michigan. One in Minnesota. And it's the
- 17 Minnesota one that you're saying was purchased in November?
- 18 A. I was just going off by what one of the reports had said
- about the Glock being purchased in November. I'm not sure
- 20 when he pursued the whole permit to purchase, and I don't
- 21 know what the time frame is as far as receipt, background,
- and issuing of a permit to purchase in Michigan.
- Q. Let me ask a different question. One of those guns was
- 24 purchased in November?
- 25 A. As far as I know, yes.

- Q. Do you know when the other gun was purchased?
- 2 A. No, I do not.
- 3 Q. So it could've been months ago?
- 4 A. It could've been. Certainly.
- 5 Q. Okay. It could've been well before the two of them
- 6 broke up and Ms. Schraeder just didn't know about that?
- 7 A. It certainly could've been, yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. And you indicated that he has a conceal and carry
- 9 permit; is that right?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Okay. And are you aware that he originally obtained
- 12 | that permit back in 2010?
- 13 A. Was not aware.
- 14 Q. And are you aware that all he did in August was update
- 15 that permit?
- 16 A. Was not aware.
- 17 Q. Okay. Agent, there's no reports that Mr. Thomason used
- 18 | either gun in any illegal manner here or in Michigan?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. Okay. And, again, there is no evidence that
- 21 Mr. Thomason ever made Ms. Schraeder aware that he had a
- 22 qun?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. So to say it a different way, he didn't try to use a gun
- 25 to intimidate her?

- 1 A. On December 6th that's correct, yes.
- Q. Was there another date that he used a gun to intimidate
- 3 her?
- 4 A. No, but that was the only interaction that they had.
- Q. Okay. And no evidence that he ever even wore it to sort
- of show her and intimidate her that he had a gun?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Now, you discussed some of the items that were found in
- 9 Mr. Thomason's car. Do you remember doing that?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 11 Q. And one of the items that you talked about was that he
- 12 had some gifts for her.
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. And those items were two wrapped books?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. And presumably those are for her to read?
- 17 A. They were two wrapped books and they were wrapped as
- 18 gifts, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And you testified that there was also a ring?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. Presumably for her to wear?
- 22 A. Again, I would presume that, yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. And you talked about this note, but you said that
- you couldn't exactly read it there. You kind of summarized
- 25 what it said.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 A. Would you like me to read it?
- 4 Q. I actually have it here, so I'll just ask you to verify
- 5 that this is what it says.
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. So the note says, Please accept this ring as a promise
- 8 to do right by you; is that correct?
- 9 A. To always do right by you.
- 10 Q. To always do right by you.
- To never forget and become complacent?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. To always put your needs and happiness above my own?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. To be there for you?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. To always love, honor, and cherish you?
- 18 A. I believe -- I couldn't see those words on my image, but
- 19 I do recall reading them through one of the reports.
- 20 Q. Exactly. And that's where I get it from.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Mr. Thomason didn't include any threats in there?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. No curse words?
- 25 A. No. It was a very nice note.

- 1 Q. No name calling?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. In fact, what he's doing in that note is promising to
- 4 never hurt her?
- 5 A. I don't know if I'd answer affirmatively to that, but
- 6 he's promising to be a better boyfriend, apparently.
- 7 Q. And to put her needs and happiness above his own?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And to love, honor, and cherish her?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Now, Agent, you also talked about some other writings
- 12 that you found during the course of this investigation.
- 13 A. That the other officers did, yes.
- 14 Q. And have you read those yourself?
- 15 A. No, I have not yet.
- 16 | Q. Okay.
- 17 A. I'm just going off of the summary reports from Mankato
- 18 Department of Public Safety.
- 19 Q. So just making sure that I understand this correctly,
- 20 others read these letters and sort of reported to you a
- 21 | summary of what they said?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. Some of the highlights.
- 25 Q. Is it your understanding that there is approximately 20

- 1 pages of these writings?
- 2 A. I don't know for certain. I believe that in the
- detective's report he said there was a stack of papers,
- 4 documents, that sort of thing.
- 5 Q. A lot of documents?
- 6 A. A lot.
- 7 Q. Okay. And some of them were handwritten?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Some of them were typed?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And when it was typed, it was typed single space?
- 12 A. I don't recall. I haven't seen any of these documents,
- so I couldn't say one way or the other whether they were
- 14 single or double-spaced, other than this one that was found
- in Detroit. I haven't seen any out of the car.
- 16 Q. Do you have any reason to disagree with me that they are
- 17 | single-spaced?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. If I showed you just --
- 20 A. Do you have -- do you have these documents?
- 21 O. Yes.
- 22 A. I've seen that, yes. That's single-spaced. It appears
- to be from an email message.
- Q. Okay. And so my question about that is, there's
- 25 basically a lot of writing within these many documents?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And the Complaint lists just three or so of these
- 3 | short excerpts, even though there's much more writing?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. But sort of to summarize all of the writings,
- 6 without going in depth on each of them, he never writes I'm
- 7 going to kill you?
- 8 A. I -- I don't believe so.
- 9 Q. You think that other officers who were investigating
- 10 this case, they probably would have told you if --
- 11 A. If it would have been that overt, yes.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. Never said I'm going to hurt you?
- 15 A. Not those words.
- 16 | Q. Never said I hate you?
- 17 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 18 Q. The writings are not riddled with curse words?
- 19 A. Not that I recall.
- 20 Q. Or with name calling?
- 21 A. I don't recall. And, again, I haven't been through the
- 22 entire volume of things that were found in his car to date.
- Q. So let me ask it way: Did you get any reports from the
- 24 other officers in the case that he had written these notes
- and that they included him calling her names?

- 1 A. Not that I recall, no.
- Q. Okay. In fact, what these letters -- what these
- 3 | writings include is Mr. Thomason asking her to go to couples
- 4 | counseling?
- 5 A. There was mention of that in one of these notes. I'm
- 6 not really comfortable giving specifics, unless you want to
- 7 hand me some of them and I can re-read them to refresh my
- 8 recollection, but --
- 9 Q. I'll just ask you about what you're aware of. Okay?
- 10 So are you aware that in these writings he
- 11 expressed regret for his actions?
- 12 A. Again, I haven't been through them all. The ones that
- 13 | were highlighted in the Complaint -- or affidavit, I don't
- 14 recall that he was expressing his regret.
- 15 Q. Okay. Are you aware that within those writings he
- 16 | talked about how hard their break-up was on him?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Are you aware that in his writings he had a lot of
- 19 questions for Ms. Schraeder?
- 20 A. He did, yes.
- 21 | Q. And are you aware that in his writings the -- he says
- 22 that he was broken up with via text -- via text message?
- 23 A. I believe so. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware that in these writings he's asking
- 25 her why she continues to accept his financial help and yet

- 1 | sort of not -- sort of ignore him?
- 2 A. Those were some of the statements on one of the
- documents that were found in kind of the script sort of
- 4 manner.
- 5 Q. Yes. And discussing the financial help in particular,
- 6 that included him paying for her credit cards?
- 7 A. I don't know. I know that this friend gave them both an
- 8 American Express Gold card. And I believe that there was
- 9 some writings about she was using that card and that when he
- 10 | -- you know, talking about it really wasn't hers to use.
- 11 Q. Okay. Are you aware that in these writings Mr. Thomason
- references that he purchased a flight back for her from
- 13 Europe back to Detroit?
- 14 A. I seem to recall there was some mention of that in all
- of these reports. I just don't have the specifics.
- 16 Q. And he also included that the financial help entailed
- buying her a \$20,000 car fairly recently?
- 18 A. That was part of his script, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And he indicated in his writings that he believed
- 20 that her accepting these items meant that they were
- 21 | continuing on in their relationship?
- 22 A. And it obligated her to honor her commitments, yes.
- 23 Q. Now, you talked about Mr. Thomason bonding out of a
- 24 | local jail.
- 25 A. Blue Earth County Jail, yes, ma'am.

- Q. And he used a bail bondsman; is that right?
- 2 A. Yes.

- 3 Q. And that bondsman's name is Rick Miller?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And Rick Miller after Mr. Thomason was released drove
- 6 Mr. Thomason to the police station to pick up his keys?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And Mr. Thomason asked Mr. Miller to drive in a way that
- 9 avoided Ms. Schraeder's address?
- 10 A. As they were going down Madison Avenue hill, when I
- 11 spoke to the bondsman, the defendant did say can you go a
- 12 different way. The bondsman followed up that does she live,
- 13 you know, on the corner? And he said that, no, she lives
- away from there but still wanted to go a different path.
- 15 Q. So Mr. Thomason was trying to avoid Ms. Schraeder's
- 16 address, and Mr. Miller stated that Madison Avenue is sort
- of a throughway and that's the way that they have to go to
- 18 get to the police station, something to that effect?
- 19 A. To that effect, yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. And at that point, one of the conditions that he
- 21 had been released on was a no-contact order --
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. -- with her, and to stay away from that address as well?
- 24 A. Yes. I think there was a half mile radius.
- 25 Q. Okay. Now let's move to the federal arrest in this

- case. Mr. Thomason was arrested federally just hours after
- posting bond in state court; is that right?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. Okay. And when he was arrested, he was arrested in
- 5 Rochester?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Ms. Schraeder lives in Mankato?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 O. And Mankato is about one-and-a-half hours west of
- 10 Rochester?
- 11 A. Yes, it is.
- 12 Q. And so he was not in Mankato any longer at that point?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. And, in fact, if you sort of follow that route, it was
- 15 Mankato where Ms. Schraeder lives, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Go an hour and a half east and that's where Mr. Thomason
- 18 | was; is that correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. And if you continue going farther, you would get to
- 21 Michigan?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. So it's very possible that Mr. Thomason was on
- 24 his way out of town at that point?
- 25 A. It's very possible.

- Q. Okay. Now, you testified that he was arrested and you
- 2 were able to locate him because of his GPS bracelet?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. So in those few hours after his release, it seems like
- 5 he was complying with the court's order and wearing that GPS
- 6 as directed?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 O. And that GPS worked as it should? You were able to
- 9 locate him in realtime?
- 10 A. For a few minutes we lost track of it, but, yes, it did
- 11 work for the most part.
- 12 Q. Okay. And when Mr. Thomason was arrested, that was at
- 13 McDonald's?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. He was eating an ice cream?
- 16 A. I believe he did have an ice cream cone when he came out
- of the McDonald's and was placed under arrest.
- 18 Q. And, again, when he was arrested by federal agents, just
- hours after paying \$25,000 bond and another \$1,300 to get
- 20 the GPS bracelet on, correct?
- 21 A. I don't know what the financial -- I know there was an
- 22 extra cost because they had to get a different GPS unit that
- 23 would work in Michigan.
- Q. Okay. So despite that, he was arrested federally. And
- 25 he was not belligerent during that arrest?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 | Q. Didn't resist?
- 3 A. Did not.
- 4 Q. Okay. And officers searched his car at that point?
- 5 A. I don't believe they did. I think they left it there
- and had the rental company come and pick it up the next day.
- 7 Q. If I told you one of the police who conducted that
- 8 arrest or one of the law-enforcement agents that conducted
- 9 that arrest noted he had no illegal narcotics or drug
- 10 paraphernalia in the car, do you have any reason to disagree
- 11 | with that?
- 12 A. No. I believe there was an odd smell and they ran a dog
- 13 around the car. Yeah.
- 14 Q. All right. Now let's talk about the search warrant
- executed in Michigan. Do you remember testifying about
- 16 that?
- 17 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 18 Q. Okay. And you stated that agents called you from there
- and sort of said that the situation was more urgent because
- 20 of what they found?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. Now, you believe that those items that were in his house
- 23 | -- you believe that Mr. Thomason is the one who's
- 24 responsible for them being there?
- 25 A. To my understanding, he's the only one that lives there.

- 1 Yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. And so he would have procured those items prior
- 3 to coming to Minnesota?
- 4 A. Of course. Yes.
- 5 Q. Under your suspicion that he bought these items?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And when he came to Minnesota, he didn't bring any of
- 8 those items?
- 9 A. Not the ones that were found in Michigan, no.
- 10 Q. So if he didn't bring them to Minnesota, he probably
- 11 | didn't intend to use them in Minnesota; is that right?
- 12 A. I don't know what his intentions were, nor can I answer
- 13 that.
- 14 Q. Okay. Agent, you testified about this Dynamat, and I
- 15 didn't know what that was either. Did he have that with
- 16 him?
- 17 A. Did not. No. Did not in the rental car.
- 18 Q. So it was on the piece of paper but not in his
- 19 | possession?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. Agent, you testified about some trips that were taken
- 22 over the summer. Do you recall that?
- 23 | A. That the victim took?
- Q. Yes. I'm sorry.
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And one of those was she went to Missouri?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And then after that she spent the rest of the summer in
- 4 Europe?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And we've already talked about that those --
- 7 Mr. Thomason's writing seems to suggest that the two were in
- 8 | contact while she was in Europe?
- 9 A. I've not been able to analyze all the email
- 10 | correspondence yet, but that's something I plan on doing.
- 11 Yes.
- 12 Q. But your understanding is that Mr. Thomason paid for a
- 13 | flight from Moscow to Detroit?
- 14 A. I -- I honestly can't answer who paid for what in that
- 15 type of specific detail at this point in time because none
- of the electronics had gone through --
- 17 Q. Let me ask more generally. In the writings you've
- 18 | already testified that there was a reference to Mr. Thomason
- 19 buying her a flight from Europe to Detroit -- or to the
- 20 States?
- 21 A. I believe there was something about buying a flight,
- 22 yes. Again, the details from Russia to Europe, I think it
- was something to get her back or pay for it in some manner.
- 24 Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. And are you aware of any other trip that she took

1	to Europe, besides the summer?
2	A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
3	Q. Okay. And so the flight back from that trip, since she
4	spent the summer there, would have been at the end of the
5	summer?
6	A. I don't have the timeline down. I believe, you know, if
7	graduation was in May, you know, and the trip I think was
8	before the trip to Europe, and I think part of the trip to
9	Europe was part of her her degree studies, and then they
10	moved in August. So, yeah, I would imagine the trip was
11	June or July.
12	Q. Okay. Agent, you've not seen the receipt for that
13	flight, have you?
14	A. I have not.
15	Q. Okay. I'll proffer that later.
16	MS. LOPEZ: Your Honor, may I have a moment?
17	THE COURT: You may.
18	MS. LOPEZ: Thank you.
19	THE COURT: Take your time.
20	(Pause)
21	MS. LOPEZ: I have nothing further. Thank you.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	MS. BUZICKY: Your Honor, if I may ask a few brief
24	questions?
25	THE COURT: You may.

1 2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. BUZICKY: 3 Special Agent Helms, you mentioned that the defendant 4 5 was apprehended in Rochester. And just so the record is 6 clear, why was he traveling to Rochester? How did that fit 7 into his interstate travel? 8 A. His personal vehicle was left at the rental location 9 that he got the vehicle from to come to Mankato, so his 10 personal vehicle was back in Rochester. 11 So just to clarify, he drove from Michigan to Rochester, 12 rented a vehicle, and then took that rental vehicle to 13 Mankato? 14 That's correct. Α. 15 And for his previous trip what did you learn about a 16 similar course of action? 17 That he had driven again from Michigan to Edina, 18 Minnesota and rented a car in Edina, Minnesota before 19 driving down to Mankato to place the first GPS device on her 20 vehicle. 21 Q. There was a question about drugs in the vehicle that was 22 located in Minnesota. What did you learn about potential 23 evidence of narcotics in the Michigan home? 24 That there were an unknown quantity or an ounce or

something of pills there that were unknown at the time, but

25

- also cocaine and methamphetamine was found in the defendant's home.
- 3 Q. Now, you've been asked a number of questions and very
- 4 detailed questions about documents and investigations, so
- 5 forth. How would you characterize the status of your
- 6 investigation right now, as we sit here today?
- 7 A. It's ongoing and it's very early in the stages of the
- 8 totality of the investigation.
- 9 Q. So can you give a sense of some of the things that are
- 10 still remaining for you to do as an investigator -- a
- 11 special agent to get a fuller picture of the conduct in this
- 12 case?
- 13 A. To go through the electronics that were found in the
- rental vehicle, a couple of phones and a small tablet; as
- well as the evidence that was seized in Detroit, a couple of
- 16 | computers, some USB, some CDs, some hard drives.
- 17 Q. The notes and communications, emails, and all these
- 18 things that have been mentioned, do you have any evidence
- 19 whatsoever that the victim wanted to receive notes like
- 20 this?
- 21 A. I do not.
- 22 Q. Do you have any evidence that she wanted to be loved,
- 23 honored, and cherished by this defendant?
- 24 A. I do not.
- Q. Do you have any evidence that she welcomed his

1 attentions or his efforts to patch up their relationship or 2 however he characterized it? 3 A. I do not. In fact, how does she feel right now about the 4 5 defendant? 6 They broke up. She does not want to reconcile. 7 she's very scared that he has approached her in this manner. 8 MS. BUZICKY: No further questions, Your Honor. 9 MS. LOPEZ: Briefly, Your Honor. 10 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 11 12 BY MS. LOPEZ: 13 So, Agent, your testimony is that any time that 14 Mr. Thomason was in Mankato, he didn't use his personal car? 15 To the two trips that he's admitted to, yes. Α. 16 Okay. And so if he was heading back to Rochester to 17 pick up his personal car, under your thinking wouldn't that 18 mean that he's not going back to Mankato? 19 I don't know. I just knew the reason he had to stop in Α. 20 Rochester first was because his personal car was there. 21 Q. Okay. And in your investigation you've determined that 22 any time he's in his personal car he's not using that in 23 Mankato? 24 THE COURT: I'm sorry, restate that question. 25 BY MS. LOPEZ:

- Q. Any time that he's gone to Mankato you've testified that
- 2 he uses a rental car?
- 3 A. The two incidents that I'm aware of by your defendant's
- 4 admissions he rented a car both times to enter the City of
- 5 Mankato.
- 6 Q. Not using his personal car in Mankato as far as you
- 7 know?
- 8 A. That's correct, on the two.
- 9 Q. Okay. And so -- I'll stop there.
- 10 You talked about the investigation being ongoing.
- But the writings that we have, those aren't going to change.
- 12 Those are the writings. Correct?
- 13 A. Yes, and I've just not been able to examine them each
- 14 individually to date.
- 15 Q. And so anything that you've testified about what they
- 16 say, that's what they say?
- 17 A. The writings, yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. Now, you were asked if Ms. Schraeder has welcomed
- any of Mr. Thomason's efforts to be in touch with her,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. Isn't it true that in one of the writings, and I believe
- 23 this was actually stated in one of the police reports,
- 24 Mr. Thomason wrote something to the effect of that
- 25 Ms. Schraeder used to like when he would make the effort to

1	meet up with her?
2	A. I think what you are referring to is one of the scripts
3	talked about that he likes to take the or she always
4	complained about him taking or not taking the initiative
5	to come and visit her.
6	Q. Exactly. So in his writing he stated that she used to
7	like when he took the initiative to come see her?
8	A. Yeah. That's what the statement says, yes.
9	Q. Correct.
10	A. It's out of context, but
11	Q. Thank you, Agent.
12	THE COURT: Anything further?
13	MS. BUZICKY: Nothing further for this witness,
14	Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: You may be excused.
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Now before the Court is the issue of
18	both probable cause and detention. Would counsel like to be
19	heard?
20	MS. BUZICKY: Yes, Your Honor.
21	We rest as to our presentation of evidence today.
22	So the only thing remaining would be the argument.
23	THE COURT: Okay. All right. The government
24	bears the burden on both probable cause and detention, so
25	you may proceed.

MS. BUZICKY: Yes, Your Honor.

Your Honor, the defendant is charged with one count of stalking under federal law. And under the federal stalking statute as charged, it requires the government to show that he traveled in interstate commerce with the intent to, among other things, harass, intimidate or place under surveillance another person. If the surveillance prong is indicated, the surveillance must be for the purpose of physically harming, harassing or intimidating that other person. And then as a result of such travel, he engaged in conduct that could have killed, injured or it would be reasonably expected to cause substantial emotional distress. And we submit that we have met the burden to prove that there is probable cause to believe all of those elements are met.

The question of interstate travel is basically not contested in this case. We know the defendant lives in Michigan, and the evidence shows he was encountered in Mankato, Minnesota.

He traveled in interstate commerce with the intent to harass, intimidate and place the victim under surveillance as evidenced by both his conduct near the parking spot, which would harass and intimidate the victim, and the tracking devices which placed her under surveillance.

Finally, as a result of this travel, he engaged in conduct that reasonably would be expected to cause her substantial emotional distress. As the special agent testified, this woman is afraid. She is concerned that the defendant will not stop and will continue to harass and intimidate her. And she described him as very controlling and motivated to accomplish these tasks because of his anger that she left him. So, Your Honor, we believe that there is probable cause to bind this defendant over for further proceedings in federal court.

With respect to the detention issue, this is not a case in which there is a rebuttable presumption in favor of detention, but we move for detention in this case because we believe under the statute that there is a very high likelihood that the defendant will be a danger to others in the community and that he may leave the jurisdiction or otherwise not appear for further proceedings in this case.

I think in this case we need to listen to the voice of the victim. She is afraid of him. She is so terrified of him that even before this got started, she did not tell anyone, other than four people, that she had moved to Mankato. She had been the recipient of a bombardment of emails and other communications. She had changed her social media so that he could not approach her. And yet he still found her, and he still came twice to the city where she had

relocated to get away from him in order to place her under surveillance and then finally to see her and block her vehicle in as she returned from a night at her job.

We have evidence here of just meticulous preplanning and contemplation of this offense. I think we've all learned here today what Dynamat is. Nobody, other than someone who had an intent to cause harm, would be looking into that product unless they were fully engaged in an insulation project in their home. This is an individual who wrote out lists and notes. He had handwritten what they have been described as "scripts," and he clearly had an intent here.

He had purchased supplies, whether they were shelf-stable military rations or special clothing, firearms, tape. All of these items were there to facilitate his goals and his plans. There's simply no evidence that he would have stopped or that he would have changed his course of action because he was intent on the things that he planned to do.

We also have evidence that he has the ability to leave. Unlike many defendants who appear before this Court, he has a friend who provides him with a nearly unlimited source of funds, and that kind of financial resource can allow him to relocate, flee the jurisdiction, and otherwise make himself unavailable for further proceedings in this

case.

So, Your Honor, we ask that the Court find that there is probable cause in this matter; and that, secondly, that the defendant is a danger to others in the community, specifically the victim and her family, and that there is a real concern that he will not appear for future proceedings in this case because of his resources and ability to avoid appearances in this court.

Thank you, Your Honor. Unless the Court has any questions, I'll conclude my remarks.

THE COURT: Ms. Lopez.

MS. LOPEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

Your Honor, I'd submit that there's not probable cause to go forward on this. And the arguments that I'll make on that issue also support my request that if the Court does in fact find probable cause, that Mr. Thomason, at a minimum, be allowed to go back to Michigan and that way he won't even be in the same state as Ms. Schraeder, because there are conditions that can reasonably assure the safety of the community and that he will reappear.

He can certainly wear a GPS monitor as he was ordered to do in state court. And I believe there can be sort of a provision where a perimeter is designated and a probation officer would be notified if he crossed that. Certainly the Michigan state line might be one such

perimeter.

As the government noted, this is a specific intent crime, so the government has to show that Mr. Thomason had intent to kill, injure, harass or intimidate Ms. Schraeder. If they are proceeding under the prong that Mr. Thomason placed surveillance upon her, that surveillance had to have also been with the intent to kill, injure, harass or intimidate that person. And I in this case don't see how the government is going to be able to do that.

First let's talk about Mr. Thomason's prior criminal history. He has no prior criminal history. He has no history of no-contact orders between him and Ms. Schraeder, between him and past girlfriends. He's approximately 40 years old and so the lack of criminal history, the lack of no-contact orders means something.

He's not alleged -- it's not alleged that throughout the course of their relationship that there were calls to 911, that he's been -- that he has had other domestic issues.

Your Honor, this case, Mr. Thomason's sort of persistence in having contact with her, as Mr. Thomason stated and I believe the agent sort of knew from what he has heard from other officers in this case, he was broken up with via text message. He had no closure. And so he was sort of coming back to ask her these questions.

What he had in his hand when he approached her was not a gun, not a weapon. He was not aggressive. He was not threatening. He was described by her to police officers as "friendly." What he had in his hand was an envelope with those questions to her, and he was hoping that she would read that and answer them.

When he was asked to leave, he did so without incident. He wasn't seen following her again, wasn't seen circling the block. He left when he was told to. This is the only contact that they are alleging constitutes stalking between Mr. Thomason and Ms. Schraeder.

Your Honor, I think it's significant that

Mr. Thomason has been arrested several times in this case
and that not in either incident, including the incident with

Ms. Schraeder's father, did he resist, did he become
belligerent. His first arrest he was not even arrested in
the same city as Ms. Schraeder. He was 15 miles away. His
second arrest he was even farther away in what appeared to
be on the way back home. He was arrested an hour and a half
from her home seemingly -- at least from what I understood
of the testimony, seemingly to pick up his car, which he has
never driven into Mankato, so that would suggest he was not
going back to Mankato but, rather, was on his way back to
Michigan. I think that's significant, that Mr. Thomason was
doing that, was going to leave the state.

And related to that, I think it's significant that when he was released in I want to say Blue Earth County and the bail bondsman was driving him to the police station, he had already had that no-contact order on him and he did his best to abide by it. He asked the bail bondsman can we drive a different route so that I avoid her house. What he could have done, had he wanted to stalk her, had he wanted to follow her, he could have figured I'm in a car that she wouldn't know and ask the bail bondsman to go a particular route to check in on her. He didn't do that. He tried to avoid it. So he is complying with court orders.

He was found wearing that GPS ankle bracelet. It did work exactly as the Court was hoping it would. And they were able to find him when they needed to find him.

The issue of release has been addressed in state court, and the judge there found the prosecutor recommended that he be released with conditions, and the judge agreed to go along with that. As a result, Mr. Thomason is under conditions there. He has a \$25,000 bond that could be surrendered if he doesn't appear.

He has a domestic abuse no-contact order there.

He also has a restriction to not be near her house. He has been ordered to surrender his firearms, and he has agreed to do that. He has been ordered to use no alcohol and no drugs. And he signed a waiver of extradition. This

particular point about signing a waiver of extradition is important because what Mr. Thomason will be told by me is that if he's apprehended by state authorities first, that could ultimately result — if he's convicted in both jurisdictions, it could result in a consecutive sentence if he's picked up by them first and then the federal case is handled second. So I think that that is a significant possibility of a punishment for Mr. Thomason.

And, lastly, as I've noted, he's been ordered to comply with the mandates of GPS monitoring. And what the agent said is that GPS was fashioned in a particular way so that it did -- he could be monitored in Michigan.

Your Honor, the items that were found in Michigan I don't believe add very much to the risk level involved in this case. Clearly he didn't bring any of those items with him. He knew he was coming here. If he's as meticulous as the government is saying with the notes and the preparation and all of that, if he intended to use any of those items, he would have brought them with him.

Your Honor, in sum, I think that Mr. Thomason is certainly heartbroken. He certainly left without answers at the end of a one-and-a-half-year relationship. The administrator says that they broke up in May, but she continued to take very expensive gifts from him, which to him made him believe that they were continuing on in their

relationship or were at least working -- continuing to work on it. Accepting a \$20,000 car, calling him from Europe to request financial help, taking his credit card with her, asking for a return flight from Moscow to Detroit, all of these things represent -- reflect that not only were they in contact during that time, I think it's a very different narrative to say they broke up in May and then he shows up on her doorstep in December. That's a very different narrative than what was actually going on.

Maybe they did break up in May, but they had contact all throughout the summer, including at Thanksgiving when she sent him pictures of their mutual -- of their mutually shared cat and gave him an update on that. So as recent as Thanksgiving, they are sort of exchanging warm emails. He comes out in December.

And I think what's important about that last reference I made to their contacts in November, the police reports say that in early November, he sent her a message saying I am okay with the status of our relationship, I'm okay that it's over. And then continued contact occurs on Thanksgiving from her to him where she sends him these pictures of the cat. And that's when he began re-initiating contact and trying to make things work. So, Your Honor, I think that's a very different narrative than what's being explained about they broke up in May and suddenly he's

appearing in December for some unknown reason.

I think it's also significant that comment that he made in his writing. He didn't write that document in anticipation of litigation. What he said in that is that she always appreciated when he took the initiative to come out and meet her, and that's what the December event was, was he thought he was going out there to sort of show that he's still interested, to make a romantic overture, and that's what happened.

And when he was asked to leave, he did in fact leave. He didn't intimidate her. He didn't show a gun. He didn't have it on his person so that she could see that and become intimidated. None of that happened.

In terms of the GPS, yeah, it's on his car, on the car that he paid for, and so it's unclear exactly what he's tracking there, whether it's his car or whether it's

Ms. Schraeder.

Your Honor, for all of these reasons, I'd submit that there's not probable cause to go forward on a federal prosecution for interstate stalking. And this one interaction that they had nothing that he did was intimidating or threatening. She described him as "friendly." He knocked on her window, didn't bang on it, didn't threaten her, didn't become upset, and he walked away when he was told to leave. So, Your Honor, for those

reasons I'd submit that there is no probable cause. But should this Court find that there is, Mr. Thomason with his 40 years of having no domestic disputes, no prior criminal history should at least be allowed to fight this case out of custody and be allowed to return to Michigan where he would be even farther away from Ms. Schraeder than if he were in the state.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

First, as to probable cause, the Court notes that the standard for probable cause is a relatively low standard. And while the defendant has proffered a number of innocent reasons for his behavior, I find that the actions of the defendant in terms of stalking, putting a GPS device on a car that he gave to the victim could cause or would be reasonably expected to cause substantial emotional distress to the victim and, accordingly, I find that there is probable cause here.

The Court has to admit that I'm quite torn on the issue of detention. It is true that Mr. Thomason has a 40-year history of not any criminal activity whatsoever, and it is true that Mr. Thomason's stated reasons for his presence in Mankato were innocent. Nevertheless, the planning, the faraday bag, the guns, all of those things are circumstantial evidence, together with the soundproofing

1	materials found in Michigan are evidence of something much
2	more nefarious. I'm not confident and don't care what a
3	state-court judge decided with respect to Mr. Thomason's
4	detention. And, accordingly, I am ordering him detained
5	pending trial.
6	Is there anything further that we need to address
7	this morning with respect to Mr. Thomason?
8	MS. BUZICKY: Nothing from the United States. But
9	with the Court's permission, I'll prepare a proposed
10	detention order, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Buzicky.
12	MS. LOPEZ: Your Honor, just for the record, my
13	understanding is the Dynamat was not found in Michigan.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. We're in recess.
15	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
16	(Court adjourned at 11:27 a.m.)
17	* * *
18	I, Debra Beauvais, certify that the foregoing is a
19	correct transcription from the audio record of the
20	proceedings in the above-entitled matter to the best of my
21	abilities.
22	Certified by: <u>s/Debra Beauvais</u> Debra Beauvais, RPR-CRR
23	Debta Deadvaid, INTIC CINI
24	
25	